

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONECOF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 683,924	683,924 03.03.2002		Wen-Tsao Lee		2398	
28639 7	7590	04.16/2003				
WEN-TSAO	LEE		EXAMINER			
NO. 10-17, SE DALIN TSUE	N, BEI PI		STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK			
HSINCHU, 314 TAIWAN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877		

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•		09/683,924	LEE, WEN-TSAO						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
,		Michael P. Stafira	2877						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		— · is action is non-final.							
3)	·—								
Disposit	ion of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.E	5. 11, 400 O.G. 210.						
4) 🖸	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	l.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
	ion Papers								
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		<u>. </u>						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
/—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	••	nniority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
u)(1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	ity documents have been		tage					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.						
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).					
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *							
Attachment	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTO						

Application/Control Number: 09/683,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 4 "for first image" should be written as -for a first image—for correctly defining the limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claims need to be in proper idiomatic English. For example in claim 3, applicant discloses "first image goes to first splitter mirror, is the same as the optical distance of reference image goes to the first mirror" but would read better as –first image is projected to the first splitter mirror, and has the same optical distance as the reference image projected to the first mirror, then being reflected to the first splitter mirror.— Examiner has found this same situation throughout the claims and therefore it's the responsibility of the applicant to review all the claims for proper grammar. Any corrected claims should not contain no new matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,924

Art Unit: 2877

In claim 1, line 3 applicant discloses "making reflection of image" which is unclear to the examiner which image the applicant is referring such as a "reference image" or "first image". The same problem is found in lines 5-6 "and reflection of image". Further in claim 1, line 6 applicant discloses "with mirror to form an optical path" which examiner cannot determine if it's the "first mirror" or "a first splitter mirror".

Claims 2-16 depend from claim 1, and therefore hold the same deficiencies. Further is the applicants responsibility to make sure the claims meet the format of 35 U.S.C. 112.

In as much as the claim(s) can be understood in light of the 112 rejection(s) made above, the following rejection(s) apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flint, III ('307).

Claim 1

Flint, III ('307) discloses an electronic image display (Fig. 1, Ref. 18), which provides a reference image; a first mirror (Fig. 3, Ref. 98) which makes reflection of an image; a first light source (Fig. 1, Ref. 46), which illuminates a first pattern (Fig. 1, Ref. 30) for a first image; a first splitter mirror (Fig. 1, Ref. 10) which making partial penetration and reflection of the image, with the mirror to form an optical path (Fig. 3, Ref. 74'), both reference image and image of

Application/Control Number: 09/683,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

pattern are projected to the splitter mirror(See Fig. 1 or 3). It is the position of the examiner that since applicant fails to disclose in the claims that the images are compared that the current reference meets the claimed limitations. Further it's the examiners position that the claimed limitations lack structural limitations relative to the other optical elements further allowing the reference of Flint, III ('307) to read on the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6 Claims 2-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flint, III ('307).

Claims 2-16

Flint, III ('307) discloses the claimed invention except for the different combinations of optical elements in claims 2-16. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to combine Flint, III ('307) with the different optical arrangements, since applicant has not disclosed that the different arrangements solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the elements disclosed in Flint, III ('307). Applicant's specification fails to express the need for the addition of optical elements and the need for different arrangements and therefore would be obvious to one skilled in the art to add

Application/Control Number: 09/683,924 Page 5 Art Unit: 2877 different optical elements to redirect images so as to fit the system into different types of packaging. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Stafira whose telephone number is 703-308-4837. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877 April 14, 2003